

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	Б	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,951	12/15/2003		Matthew Charles Smithers		3385
75	90 12/2	9/2004		. EXAMINER	
Matthew C. Smithers 502 Price Drive			BUI, LUAN KIM		
Lewisville, TX 75067			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/735,951	SMITHERS, MATTHEW CHARLES				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Luan K Bui	3728				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/735,951 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the background of the invention, the phrase "allowing he user" and in the detailed description of the preferred embodiments, the phrases "potable electronic device" and "Figure 8 9" are incomplete.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited. In claim 1, the phrase "a method for removal and replacement or permanent mounting" is indefinite because it is not clear whether applicant is claiming a product or a method. Clarification is required. The term "A means" should be replaced with --a means--. The term "the said device" should be replaced with --the device--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/735,951 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hawkins (1,925,694). Hawkins discloses a carrier (1) for a portable electronic device comprising a case for removal and replacement of a portable electronic device, a strap (14) is loosely attached to the case within a plurality of loops (Figure 1) which is considered equivalent to flip the device, the case is adapted to hold and to protect the portable electronic device from scratch type damage, the case formed from leather or similar material which has frictional surface is considered equivalent to stop the carrier from sliding off of a surface and the case having a window to see through the carrier to determine distinguishable marks of the device.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chase et al. (2002/0153400; hereinafter Chase'400). Chase'400 discloses a carrier (10) for holding a personal digital/portable electronic device comprising a case for removal and replacement of a device, a strap (16) is loosely attached to the case which is considered equivalent to flip the device, the case is adapted to hold and to protect the device from scratch type damage, the case formed from a transparent plastic which has frictional surface is considered equivalent to stop the carrier from sliding off of a surface and the case having a window to see through the carrier to determine distinguishable marks of the device.

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-4552. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Ms. Merilyn Watts at (571) 272-4398.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (703) 872-9306 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb

December 22, 2004

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner